Recommendation	Priority	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
	1 = Low 2 = Med 3 = High				

#### Audit Commission Recommendations following audit of 2007/08 data quality

	·					
R1	Ensure new Partnership Toolkit clearly outlines data quality objectives for partnership organisations.	3	Head of Policy, Performance and Partnerships	To ensure that there are clear protocols in place where information is shared with partners.	The Partnership Toolkit is being refreshed in May 2009. The Toolkit will outline the Council's data quality objectives as an example of standards. A data quality agreement for partners to sign up to will be developed and included in the toolkit. LSP partners will be asked to sign following the annual forum and election of new Board and Executive.	The Audit Commission Partnership toolkit will r 2008/09 data year The Toolkit has bee our DQ objectives. A DQ Protocol has LSP partners to sign annual forum on 8 c
R2	Ensure lead members and officers are fully aware of the need for data quality when sharing information through partners.	2	Head of Policy, Performance and Partnerships	To make clear what we expect from our staff and Members in terms of the standards of data quality, and communicate these expectations. To ensure that there are clear protocols in place where information is shared with partners.	Roles and responsibilities of lead members have been set out as part of the ongoing development of an LSP Governance Handbook. This will include the data quality and information sharing agreement for partners to sign up to.	A draft of the LSP G Handbook has beer be presented to all i in September. In order to encourag with our partners, in document to be agr volunteers to help s This will now be agr December.
R3	Develop a comprehensive training session for members to allow learning about the importance of data quality and the arrangements already in place.	2	Corporate Governance Group	To make clear what we expect from our staff and Members in terms of the standard of data quality, and communicate these expectations.	A training session on Corporate Governance is currently being developed that will include learning about the importance of data quality.	Research into meth training has been co an appropriate meth Member training is a workshop taking p

# Deadline and / or Completed

ion note in the report that the vill meet this criterion for peen drafted to include es.	May 2009 COMPLETED June 2009
as been drafted for all sign following the 8 July.	July 2009 COMPLETED June 2009
P Governance een prepared and will all new board members	
urage more involvement s, instead of taking a agreed we will ask for p shape the document. agreed by the LSP in	July 2009 PARTIALLY COMPLETED
ethods of Member n completed to develop nethod. is being developed for ng place in October.	August 2009 PARTIALLY COMPLETED

Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
	2 = Med				
	3 = High				

f						
R4	Ensure that the Partnership Toolkit incorporates a mechanism for dealing with any non- compliance with the Council's data quality policy so it can be pursued and rectified.	2	Head of Policy, Performance and Partnerships	To put in place arrangements council-wide to secure the quality of data we use to manage our services and demonstrate our performance. To ensure that we have the right controls in place so that we achieve what is expected of us.	The refreshed Partnership Toolkit will set out how the Council is taking a lead in identifying methods to deal with non- compliance. The toolkit will provide guidance on how partnerships can address non-compliance through their performance management process.	A DQ insert for the has now been inco Toolkit.
R5	Establish full co-ordination with partners to ensure systems used to provide data are secure.	2	Head of Policy, Performance and Partnerships	To ensure that there are clear protocols in place where information is shared with partners.	The Partnership Toolkit is being refreshed in May 2009. The Toolkit will outline the Council's data quality objectives as an example of standards. A data quality agreement for partners to	The Audit Commission Partnership toolkit will 2008/09 data year The Toolkit has be our DQ objectives.
					sign up to will be developed and included in the toolkit. LSP partners will be asked to sign following the annual forum and election of new Board and Executive.	A DQ Protocol has all LSP partners to annual forum on 7
R6	Establish links with partners for data quality champions to provide feedback loops to identify potential data quality problems.	3	Head of Policy, Performance and Partnerships	To put in place systems, policies and procedures to ensure the highest possible data quality. To ensure that we have the right controls in place so that we achieve what is	A process will be established through the refresh of the Partnership Toolkit. Awareness raising will take place with LSP link officers.	The Toolkit has bee our DQ objectives. The last link officer place due to staff a There will be a disc Management Board on refreshing the ro
				expected of us.	The process will be reflected in the Governance Handbook. The process will include link officers providing feedback from LSP theme partnerships to Stevenage Borough Council's So Stevenage Partnership officers and Performance & Improvement Team. Feedback will be shared with data quality champions and actioned as appropriate.	a meeting will be so awareness-raising A draft of the LSP of Handbook has bee be shaped by LSP document will be a December.

	Deadline and / or Completed
for the Partnership Toolkit en incorporated into the	May 2009 COMPLETED June 2009
nmission note in the report that the olkit will meet this criterion for year has been drafted to include octives.	May 2009 COMPLETED June 2009
col has been developed for ners to sign following the n on 7 September.	July 2009 COMPLETED August 2009
has been drafted to include actives. a officer meeting did not take o staff absence. e a discussion at Strategic of Board on 15 September g the role of Link Officers – rill be set after this where raising will take place.	May 2009 COMPLETED June 2009 June 2009
e LSP Governance has been prepared and will by LSP partners. The final rill be agreed by the LSP in	July 2009 PARTIALLY COMPLETED

Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
	2 = Med				
	3 = High				

£						
R7	In respect of housing benefit performance indicators, some general recommendations to consider are: - Monitor reports on a regular basis to ensure results are in line with expectations; and - Investigate anomalies to ensure they are correct and not a system error.	2	Head of Revenues	To ensure that we have the right controls in place so that we achieve what is expected of us. To put in place systems, policies and procedures to ensure the highest possible data quality.	The finance performance dashboard allows us to monitor performance on a monthly basis. Combined with daily monitoring of workload through the Anite@Work document management system, this provides an effective 'early warning system' to performance dips. The replacing of Pericles Benefits during 2009/10 will resolve current issues with data quality and remove the need for 100% data cleansing of the performance outcome figures.	The new Revenues is scheduled to 'go November.
R8	In respect of void re-let times, some general recommendations for collection of data are: - Retain all work orders for major works projects to be used as an audit trail; and - Record all information about major works and other work carried out on the Northgate system.	1	Head of Strategic Housing	To ensure that our data quality arrangements are in line with the Council's policy on records management. To ensure that we have the right controls in place so that we achieve what is expected of us.	<ul> <li>The following actions have been taken to address this recommendation:</li> <li>Clarification of the major works definition to ensure consistency across Stevenage Homes staff - building on the CLG guidance.</li> <li>A template has been devised for recording and verifying the use of the major works code</li> <li>All evidence is now centrally collated for use of the major works code – including all the works orders raised.</li> <li>Stevenage Homes is due to have a further audit on BVPI 212 as agreed by Stevenage Borough Council on 2009/10 data, which should evidence that the record keeping is now robust.</li> </ul>	Assurance is to be Stevenage Homes i.e. whether this is internal audit or ex- internal audit, or a statement from Ste

	Deadline and / or Completed
	In place
	COMPLETED June 2009
ues and Benefits system 'go live' at the end of	October 2009 PARTIALLY COMPLETED
	In place
	COMPLETED June 2009
be provided by nes on the arrangements is conducted by SBC external provider of r a management Stevenage Homes.	August 2010

Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
	2 = Med 3 = High				

R9	Retain evidence of verification of SHL data.	2	Head of Strategic Housing	To ensure that there are clear protocols in place where information is shared with partners	Maintain audit trail of quarterly verification checks to validate	Officers are current SHL to develop and data quality and very update the audit translandlord Service P through the Council Scorecard. SHL has completed assurance checklist Services KPIs that the Council's Balar The Stevenage Hot Finance and Complete access for the Council team to audit Steve National Indicator at therefore an annual statement from Ster National Indicator p required. As the Internal Audit access to audit SH Set the Council will three housing land Indicators over a 3 addition SHL are n provide quarterly very assurance statement SHL Compliance M related performance through the Council

	Deadline and / or Completed
ntly in discussion with nd implement a new verification process to rail in relation to PIs that are reported cil's Balanced	
ed data quality ists for the Landlord at are reported through anced Scorecard.	June 2009
omes Director of pliance approved puncil's Internal Audit venage Homes arrangements and al assurance tevenage Homes for purposes is not	COMPLETED August 2009
udit Team now has HL's National Indicator ill be assessing all dlord related National 3 year cycle. In now required to verification and annual nents (signed off by the Manager) for all SHL nce indicators reported cil's Balance	

Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
	2 = Med 3 = High				

-						
R10	Develop a data quality training programme and roll out training to relevant staff.	2	CPU/HR	To ensure that we put in place the right resources, and in particular have the right people with the right skills, so that we have accurate and timely performance information	Develop Member training	Member training is part of the planned awareness of all ele Governance. See F Member training is a workshop taking
R11	Consider the number and skills of staff in respect of data quality when undertaking workforce planning.	1	Head of Human Resources	To ensure that we put in place the right resources, and in particular have the right people with the right skills, so that we have accurate and timely performance information	The Council's adopted Workforce Development Plan will be reviewed following the revision of the Council's People Strategy. The updated Workforce Development Plan will incorporate generic data quality requirements, based on identified skill levels	The Workforce Dev place until 2010.
R12	BV82a (i) Ensure accurate audit trail provided		Contracts Manager	To put in place systems, policies and procedures to ensure the highest possible data quality.	Set up a formal procedure to ensure that Weighbridge tickets support recycling weekly summary. Managers confirmation of reasonableness of variance between waste collected and waste sent for recycling needs to be noted Information to support adjustment to county figure needs to be available	SBC are required to weighbridge ticket of Flow. Recycling Officer (fi figures for collected sent for recycling a Contracts Manager discrepancies to en valid and reasonab of discussion but m formalising. A formal procedure ensure that the wei (which the Environn Manager gets), sup summary data initia Recycling & Waste cross referenced an updating Waste Da supports stats arou

	Deadline and / or Completed
s being developed as d activity to raise elements of Corporate Recommendation 3. s being developed for g place in October.	August 2009 PARTIALLY COMPLETED
evelopment Plan is in	December 2009
to use County t detail for Waste Data	September 2009
(RO) compares the ed waste and waste and speaks to er regarding any ensure that they are able. RO has evidence may need some further	COMPLETED August 2009
re is now in place to eighbridge tickets nmental Services upport the weekly tially produced by the te Officer. This data is and then used for Data Flow which bund NI 192.	

Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
	2 = Med 3 = High				

Reco	mmendations from Stevena	age Boroug	h Council Inter	nal Audit of 2007/08 data		
R13	It is recommended that completed Data Quality Assurance Checklists should be submitted to the Corporate team by a pre- determined date and non submissions be chased up.	2	Performance & Improvement Manager		Agreed. Data Quality Checklists drafted for all indicators in the Balanced Scorecard. Checklists will be verified accurate by Heads of Service and collected by Performance and Improvement Team following end of data year.	The Council's netw Champions are as completion and col for 2008/09 data. The few remaining outstanding are no the Champions and Officers.
R14	It is recommended that there may be potential to improve performance for National Indicator 192 by applying the Audit Commission definition of excluding fly tipping and investigating the inclusion of recycled tyres, wood & metal.	2	Street Scene and Open Spaces Manager		Agreed.	NI 192 is now calcu waste data flow sys calculates informat tipping, recycled ty The Audit commiss these activities is fo
R15	It is recommended that for National Indicator 192 spot checks of prime documents are undertaken this may help to reduce the risk of errors.	2	Street Scene and Open Spaces Manager		Agreed.	This control has ye it has been raised a National Indicator a
R16	It is recommended that for National Indicator 192 a second person should check the arithmetic on the recycling spreadsheet, this may help reduce the risk of errors.		Street Scene and Open Spaces Manager		Agreed.	The recycling spreaused; calculations DEFRA waste data has an in-built man verification process

	Deadline and / or Completed
work of Data Quality ssisting with the final ollection of Checklists g checklists ow being collected via nd Responsible	September 2009 PARTIALLY IMPLEMENTED
culated through the ystem, which ation surrounding fly- yres, wood and metal. ssion's definition of fully complied with.	COMPLETED August 2009
ret to be implemented, again in the 09/10 audit	July 2009 (Expect to be completed in September 2009)
eadsheet is no longer s are made through the ta flow system, which anagerial review and ss.	COMPLETED August 2009

Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low 2 = Med	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
	2 = Med 3 = High				

R17	It is recommended that for National Indicator 192, some figures on the recycling spreadsheet are reliant on third party figures (e.g. Herts CC and private recycling	1	Street Scene and Open Spaces Manager	Agreed.	Information entere system has been t are in place back weighbridge tickets
	companies), there should be a reasonableness check to ensure that these figures are accurate. In				
	particular where the Council are paid for recycling materials.				

## Additional Actions identified by Corporate Performance and Improvement Team

R18	Improve Data Quality Status where there are issues of concern.	2	Heads of Service and Performance and Improvement Team	To put in place systems, policies and procedures to ensure the highest possible data quality.	Work with Heads of Service to increase performance indicators that are not subjective and have a one star status data quality (more than one issue to address) to a two star status (one issue to address)	A schedule of meet developed to resolv to performance indi status for data qual The Performance 8
						has carried out initial Responsible Office related indicators. indicators is current

	Deadline and / or Completed
ered into the DEFRA n tested and audit trails ck to the source data kets	COMPLETED August 2009
actings has been	Marah 2010

etings has been Ive issues in relation dicators with one start ality.	March 2010
& Improvement Officer tial meetings with ers of ICT and CSC Status for these ntly under review.	